1 2 3 4	JOHN M. RUNFOLA SBN 96058 Attorney at Law Pier 9, Suite 100 San Francisco, California 94101 Telephone: (415) 391-4243 Facsimile: (415) 391-5161	
5 6 7 8 9	Attorney for Defendant MIMI WANG UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. MIMI WANG, Defendant.	CR No(s).CR 11-0804 MMC STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Since the last calling of the case, the parties have engaged in disposition discussions regarding Ms. Wang's case. This continuance is sought by counsel for the defense in order to provide additional time for effective preparation of counsel. Counsel for the defense anticipates reaching a resolution of Ms. Wang's case in the next few weeks. To preserve the Court's time, and to avoid the dislocation for the defendant that travel to Court entails, the parties propose moving the hearing date. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Court move the next hearing from March 28, 2012 to April 18, 2012. No party objects to the requested continuance. The parties request that the period from March 28, 2012 through April 18, 2012 also be	
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE	

excluded from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties agree that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel and would preserve continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

DATED: March 26, 2012

JOHN RUNFOLA

Attorney for CARL PITTS

Attorney for CARL PITTS

DATED: March 26, 2012

/s/

DAMALI TAYLOR

Assistant United States Attorney

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds good cause to change the date for the next hearing from March 28, 2012 to April 18, 2012. The Court also finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from March 28, 2012 through April 18, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE

##